

18

April 4, 2013
James Paul Jenkins
Voluntary Statement
Charlottetown, PEI

1

James Paul Jenkins
1 - J. van der Laan

5 **VOLUNTARY STATEMENT OF JAMES PAUL JENKINS - APRIL 4, 2013**

JAMES PAUL JENKINS (SWORN)

EXAMINATION BY: JAKE VAN DER LAAN

Mr. van der Laan: So are we on the record

Examiner: We are.

THIS IS EXHIBIT "16" REFERRED TO IN THE
AFFIDAVIT OF *Paul Jenkins*
SWORN BEFORE ME THIS *28th* DAY
OF *November* 2018
Harold Coffey
A COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT OF
PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND

10 **Q.** Okay. Great. Good morning, Mr. Jenkins.

A. Good morning, Jake.

Q. Just to follow up on our off-the-record discussion prior to the interview, I just want to clarify that this is a voluntary interview by you.

15 **A.** Umhum.

Q. And you're here to try and answer some of the questions we might pose to you. If at any time you feel that a question is one that you do not wish to answer, you have every right to do so. Not a problem. If at any time you want to discontinue the interview, that's fine. Again, like I said, this is a voluntary interview and I just want to make sure you understand that.

A. I do.

20 **Q.** Okay. Thank you. Let's start off with just a little background information. Could I have your occupation and education?

25 **A.** I have a B.Sc. in Biology from the University of UPEI, or, University of Prince Edward Island; graduated in 1992. And I have a B.Sc. Pharmacy from Dalhousie;

30

5

graduated in 2000.

Q. Okay. And your current occupation is a pharmacist, I assume?

A. Clinical pharmacist with, with the Sobeys Group.

10

Q. Very good. Now, I do understand you also have some business experience. Can you give me a rough sense of your business experience?

15

A. So with the, with the pharmacy, I developed the pharmacy and developed different professional buildings around that. And I sold the pharmacies a number of years ago, 2009, but still own the buildings and rentals and such like that.

20

Q. Okay. So with that, the subject of the, and the interview really revolves around Capital Markets Technologies, or, Financial Markets Technologies, depending on who you talk to.

25

A. Umhum.

Q. Let's do a little review of when you first became involved with them, when you first heard of, of those entities and, and what took place after that. If I could get you to kind of chronologically take me through that, that would be helpful.

30

A. Sure. I first met - well, I was introduced to CMT/FMT by my cousin who, Garth Edward Jenkins, who was introduced to it - he was marrying Paul Maines's cousin. So I

5 assume that they had some discussions around the
marriage; and Paul Maines hosted the actual wedding in
late August of 2010. And from earlier in July of that
year, Garth had introduced me to this opportunity; said
I should meet Paul Maines because this sounded like
10 something of interest. And what prefaced that was I was
interested in doing business with Garth. He was exiting,
at that time, DME, which are Diversified Metal
Engineering; he had helped them along. He's a smart man
and he was going on to his next opportunity. And so I
15 have a lot of faith in him. And this is what he wanted
to work in, so. He introduced me to Paul Maines in July
of that year - I think it was late July - at Smitty's one
morning. And Paul Maines, you know, gave us a rough
outlook of what transactions, you know, financial
20 transactions are and, and would look like, and some
opportunities of which, you know, it was totally foreign
to me. Pharmacy or medication distribution is one thing
that I understood and was trained for; but certainly
didn't understand, at that point, financial transactions.
So over that period of time, you know, you get to
25 understand more from there. We met Big Paul, is what I
would call him; because I'm Little Paul. And met, met
big Paul a number of times and became interested in it
but really was using, you know, Garth's knowledge of
30 evaluation of companies, more than mine; because, again,

5

it's not my specialty. So from there, we invested in, the first of September and, you know, we continued on to work with, with Big Paul and their group, Philip Walsh and, and Simplex, to introduce the Island opportunity. And that, that resulted in a whole bunch of different things. One was, you know, did, you know, is there, was there an opportunity to recruit businesses to P.E.I. using the P.E.I., kind of, advantages on, you know, innovation and such like that; labour force; et cetera. Another arm of it really was the CMT, the transaction piece, in creating a transaction hub. So I would introduce Big Paul and the group to, you know, various people that I knew inside the community and try to move that business forward. The same as you would move any business that you were invested in, at that point, forward.

10

15

20

Q. Okay. So just going to stop you there and backtrack a little bit.

A. Umhum.

Q. You said that you first met with Mr. Maines sometime in July, I presume? That's July 2010.

25

A. 2010, yeah.

Q. Yeah. At Smitty's.

A. I think it was at Smit-, Smitty's was our first introduction breakfast there. Yeah.

30

Q. Right. And can I assume that it was you, Garth and Mr.

- 5 Maines at this meeting?
- A. Yeah. It was young Garth. Yeah. There's two -
- Q. Right.
- A. - Garths. There's Senior and Junior.
- Q. Right.
- 10 A. So it was young Garth.
- Q. Right. And you indicated that you invested sometime in
September.
- A. Ninth or tenth. Somewhere around -
- Q. Okay.
- 15 A. - that time.
- Q. We'll come back to that in a moment. But up until the
time that you invested in CMT, who did you speak with on
behalf of CMT? Was it just Paul Maines or did you have
discussions with anybody else on behalf of CMT?
- 20 A. No. I had discussions just with Big Paul, Paul Maines,
on CMT. Certainly in, sometime in that time frame,
Philip Walsh was here as well. And I met with Philip and
Big Paul at Cora's restaurant in Charlottetown where
Philip would - you know, try to explain more of financial
25 transactions and opportunities and (unclear) financial
transactions. Now, he was representing his company,
Simplex, at -
- Q. Right.
- 30 A. - the time. But at the time I, you know, I didn't really

5 understand, to be honest, the difference between a Simplex and a CMT. To me, it was all kind of mired together.

Q. Right. So is it fair -

A. (Clears throat) Excuse me.

10 Q. - to say that you might not have appreciated that CMT was purported to own an interest in Simplex, or had share holdings in Simplex?

A. I certainly did not know that at the time.

15 Q. Okay. So with respect to Mr. Walsh, is it fair to say that he didn't actively solicit you directly to invest in CMT?

A. No. He just informed me of opportunities inside of financial transactions.

20 Q. Okay. So context about the business, perhaps, that CMT was involved in or going to be involved in.

A. Or could be involved in.

25 Q. Could be involved in. So just to reiterate my question one more time, up until the time that you invested in September, we'll talk about - I understand there was a trip to Ottawa in August -

A. Umhum.

30 Q. - as well. Up until the time that you decided to invest, you had dealt, for the purposes of discussing the investment opportunity, only with Mr. Maines.

- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And it would have been your discussions with Mr. Maines that would have motivated you to invest. Is that a fair statement? Or was it other things?
- A. Well, it would have been my faith in, in my cousin, of -
- 10 Q. Right.
- A. - his evaluation of the opportunity and his going to work with that company; because that was part of the premise that, that Young Garth would work with the company. And hopefully I would at that time; because I had exited the
- 15 pharmacy business and was looking for another opportunity.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So I was really invested in Garth's evaluation of the company. But the only person that I met with on behalf
- 20 of the company was, was Big Paul.
- Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about this, this meeting in August; because there appears to be a meeting that was scheduled in Ottawa around August 20th or so -
- A. Umhum.
- 25 Q. - where you and Mr. Maines travelled to Ottawa, I believe. Is that - do you recall that?
- A. We - oh yes. Yeah. No -
- Q. Okay. So can you tell me what gave rise to that, to that, to that trip?
- 30

5 A. So we had - Garth and I had agreed to invest in the
opportunity so we were to sign the papers and such. We -
or I flew to Ottawa and - with Big Paul. It was a
morning flight. Great flight. I mean, we were downtown
Ottawa by 8:15 and back on the plane for home, I think,
10 around 11 or 12. So turnaround time was about six hours
to get back, there and back. So we went down to Blakes,
Cassels.

Q. Umhum.

15 A. Nice office overlooking the Parliament buildings - and in
their boardroom and met Mr., met Gary Jessop. Of which
then we, we then made the investment in CMT.

Q. Okay.

A. With Paul, Big Paul was in the room, Gary Jessop and
myself.

20 Q. So can you explain to me what the purpose of the trip
was?

A. It was to sign the papers for the investment in CMT.

Q. Okay. And while you were there, did you do anything
other than that?

25 A. That's where the incorporation of the 7645686 company
would have taken place, at the same time.

Q. Okay.

30 A. When it was registered at Blakes, Cassels. Or, they did
that paperwork.

5 Q. So the impetus to do that, where did that come from? Was that something that was generated at the time in Ottawa? Or was it already kind of determined that that's what was going to happen?

10 A. Well, to do business on Prince Edward Island, you need to be local. You need to have a local address. You need to have a local flavour. That's just the way this community works. So, you know, they - you know, we would invest in, it needed a local address of which I used my address. And since I was the only local person there, that's how I was subsequently placed on the Board of Directors, I guess.

15 Q. Okay. So when was the decision made to do that incorporation? Was it before the trip or at the time you met in Ottawa?

20 A. You know, I don't really recall to be really truthful.

Q. Okay. Okay. And -

A. Garth may, Garth Edward may know. Young Garth may know.

25 Q. Okay. And with respect to that corp-, that, that incorporation, what was your understanding as to who was going to own the corporation and who was going to control the corporation, this P.E.I. numbered company?

A. P.E.I. numbered company or federal corporation?

30 Q. Well, sorry. The federal corporation with the P.E.I. address. Forgive me.

- 5 A. Yes. Well, basically it was - and because I didn't really understand about the, what a convertible debenture could do and not do, about being public or private traded, eventually it was my understanding that CMT would take over the 764568 company; and that would be amalgamated into one kind of a unit.
- 10 Q. Right. So was there ever any discussion about who would own this company in the meantime?
- A. No.
- 15 Q. Did you know who owned this company after it was incorporated?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. So other than being named as a director, -
- A. Umhum.
- Q. - you had -
- 20 A. Nothing to do with it.
- Q. - no involvement in the company?
- A. Nothing to do with the company.
- Q. Okay. And who was the main driver behind the incorporation?
- 25 A. It was all filled out by the lawyer of Blakes, Cassels.
- Q. So Mr. -
- A. (Unclear, speaking at the same time - Jessop).
- Q. - Jessop? So he, he incorporated the company. And did he ask from you whether you would be prepared to act as
- 30

- 5 a director? Or how did, how did that happen?
- A. I mean, kind of on my own fruition. Because, pushing the fact that we needed a local address, a local company.
- Q. Right.
- A. Yeah. I said: Because you're going into business to do something very legitimate, you say, you know, you're happy to be using my address as, as that.
- 10 Q. Right. So you were helping out what you deemed to be a necessary aspect of doing business in P.E.I.
- A. That's right.
- 15 Q. Right. And you understood that in due course, this numbered company, this federally-incorporated numbered company would be subsumed in some way by CMT, owned by CMT. Merged with CMT. What have you.
- A. Something - yes. Some kind of a merger. Yes.
- 20 Q. And did you feel that you had any ownership interest in that company?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Okay. So just to switch topics a little bit, when you first met Mr. Maines, how did he introduce himself to you? What did you understand his, his job to be? Or his position, his work, his occupation to be.
- 25 A. Well, that's a very good question. I didn't really know what his occupation was, you know? When you're talking about a company and you're promoting a certain company,
- 30

5

you would assume that they were a representative of that company, or that he owned the company and, you know, was, was promoting it to me.

Q. Right.

10

A. But I didn't know - like, I didn't get a business card saying, you know: I'm Jake. I'm a lawyer, or whatever it was. Right?

Q. Right.

A. I didn't get any of that.

15

Q. So you say he was promoting the company. And in the company, you mean CMT?

A. Yes.

Q. Right. And when you say 'promoting', what do you mean by that?

20

A. Well, he was, he was educating me on transactions that could go on through CMT. Some of the things that CMT had done in the past, software that it had built; Claimatrix being probably the primary piece of that. And what had gone on, according to him, in the past was with Claimatrix and how it was built; and it was built through a London company. So, you know. Just education on the whole field, so to speak, and what CMT had done; and why it had, you know, ended up on the pink sheets as it had before; and how to retool it to, to bring it forward from then on forward.

30

5 Q. And in that promotional effort, -

A. Umhum.

Q. - what did you understand his goal to be, in, in doing that work with you or promoting the company to you?

10 A. Well, it - the idea being that, number 1, it went public. Right? And there's a big capitalization piece to that which, hopefully, was really beneficial to us. And they were being a viable transaction company that you would be able to either work in or own a piece of and help promote locally.

15 Q. Right. I don't want to put words in your mouth, but would you perceive, or have perceived at the time, those promotional efforts as being targeted at getting you to become an investor?

20 A. Well certainly that was the premise of the meeting, was, you know, was Garth interested in investing and was I interested in investing; and this is the opportunity to invest in and this is some of the things that it could possibly do.

25 Q. Right. So if I were to suggest to you that what he was doing was, was soliciting you to invest, he was trying to get you to a point where you would make the decision to invest money in CMT, would that be a fair characterization of his, of his actions or not?

30 A. Yeah. I mean, he was giving me all the information to

5 invest in the company. How else would I have heard about it?

Q. Okay. Can you tell me how important the information that Mr. Maines provided was in your decision to invest?

10 A. Well certainly Paul explained the capitalization piece through the stock market well. Like, he seemed to understand that very well. But the actual day-to-day business of what CMT could and couldn't do, probably not as well. Philip Walsh gave a better perspective of, you know, the financial transaction field versus, versus Big Paul's explanation of it. But Paul understood - in my mind, Paul understood the stock market piece, very well, of it.

15 Q. Right. So in the course of his promotional effort with you, did he make any statements with respect to how CMT was expected to perform? Did he give you any indication of what kind of returns you might expect from your investment?

20 A. I mean, there was - you know, you're buying a large portion, or a portion or percentage of this company for a very small price. And, you know, by CMT's past performance, even though it ended up on the pink sheets, you know, you could do the easy math of what, what your investment will get.

25 Q. Okay. And did he do this math for you?

30 A. Well, I think between he and Garth, they explained the

5 math to me. But Garth would interpret it more than at
the, interpret what Big Paul was saying. Because this
was all - this is not a way that I've ever capitalized a
business before. I would do it a very traditional way.
Right? Go to the bank and dance the dance that they do.
10 Right? So this was all kind of new and foreign. So it
was kind of a com-, you know, kind of a combination of,
education for me. Because Garth certainly understood it
more than I did at the time.

15 Q. Okay. You talked about past performance. You said:
Given the past performance of CMT. What did you
understand the past performance of CMT to be at the time
that you were looking at investing?

20 A. It was explained to me by, by either Paul or Garth that,
you know, it had gone really well. And I think it was
under a different symbol. It might have been CMKT or
something like that. And, you know, it had gone up
dramatically on the stock market. So if you're buying a
share at whatever we were buying in at, you know, 2 or 3
cents or whatever it was, and it went up to 50 or 60
25 cents, like, it's not rocket science to figure out how
your investment's doing and that you could sell it at
that point.

30 Q. Right. Did you realize at the time that you were
invested, that you invested, that CMT was not listed
anywhere?

- 5 A. It was explained to me that it was on the pink sheets.
- Q. It was on the pink sheets at that time.
- A. Umhum.
- Q. In August/September 2010.
- A. Umhum.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- A. But they were doing - just to clarify that, they were looking for another vehicle to take over to get it relisted on the stock exchange. So a reverse takeover, I think (unclear) was the term.
- 15 Q. Okay. So what, what did you understand the impetus for that to be? Why were they trying to do that?
- A. That it had failed previously and that they needed another shell company to take over.
- Q. And when you say 'it', who do you mean? It had failed
- 20 previously, you said.
- A. CMT or whatever the previous name of it had been.
- Q. Okay. So I'm confused because on the one hand you're saying there was excellent past performance and you're also saying that it had failed. How do you, how do you reconcile those two? Can you explain to me what you mean
- 25 by -
- A. Well, it had gone up dramatically in stock price.
- Q. Yes.
- 30 A. And then it had, obviously not met whatever targets it

5

was going to meet.

Q. Okay.

A. And then it fell off.

Q. Okay.

10

A. And that's where I had good faith that Garth was going to be part of a management co., which was the discussions at the time. And frequent weekly conference calls, by the way that Gar-, that we were all involved in with, with Cato, Richard Cato, Philip Walsh, you know, Paul Maines and Garth and I. And this was after we invested -

15

Q. Yeah.

A. - that that was, that was how you were going to make sure that the company moved forward. So, yes, it failed before but that didn't mean that, in this case that - they owned the software that you were investing in; that, yes, it failed before but now this time it was going to work because you had, you know, a more clear path set out.

20

Q. Right. And you had a management team that could, perhaps knew -

25

A. That I -

Q. - what, what could not have been done before.

A. That's right.

30

Q. Got you. So just to conclude on what you felt Mr. Maine's job was, or what he was doing.

- 5 A. Umhum.
- Q. You've stated that you felt he was a promoter.
- A. Umhum.
- Q. Did he ever say to you anything to that effect, that he was the promoter for CMT? Or did you not just -
- 10 A. No. He never specifically stated that he was, what his job title would be, -
- Q. Right.
- A. - or is, with CMT. But it was my understanding that if you were coming in telling me about a company, that it's
- 15 either your company or a piece of your company or you're employed by that company. Like, that's -
- Q. Right.
- A. - a normal course of business, in my head.
- Q. Right. Very good. But he never gave you a business card
- 20 or anything like that?
- A. I've never seen a business card yet.
- Q. Alright. Now, there is some reference in the materials by way of e-mails that he had an affiliation, maybe prior or existing, with Hurricane Capital. Were you aware of
- 25 that?
- A. I am. Or, I was aware -
- Q. And -
- A. - and still am aware.
- 30 Q. - what did you understand his involvement to be with that

5

company?

10

A. Hurricane Capital, for me, was - all it was concerned about, for me, was that he was raising money for whatever projects that he was invested in. But I didn't delve into Hurricane Capital. But certainly e-mails from his e-mail address to mine, some of them were from Hurricane Capital.

15

Q. Right. And the e-mails also seemed to suggest - and we'll get into that a little bit later, that, that there were other projects and companies that he mentioned to you or that you and him discussed by e-mail.

20

A. Yes. Yeah.

Q. Right? And so why were you doing that with him?

A. Well, I was interested in a broad scope of opportunity.

25

Q. Right.

A. I mean, it was about a whole bunch of different things. You know? It started off with transactions but, you know, in my mind, Prince Edward Island has a unique opportunity, because of its size, to go out and recruit businesses here. You know, by helping - you know, for example, our corporate tax rate could be, could be beneficial if we, if we, if we could lower it as a province and attract businesses and jobs here. You know, we talked at length about, you know - and we did go out and recruit one company here, just as an example, to the province. Virgin Gaming ended up moving here. And that

30

5

was multiple flights on my behalf up to Toronto to talk to Rob Segal. Along with - Paul Maines was there and so was, so was, after that, representatives of the government flew up to examine that. There was other opportunities. Like, basalt was another opportunity where they were looking for a manufacturing base.

10

Q. Basalt? Can you spell that for me?

A. Basalt is just a - it's just a mineral. B A S A L T.

Q. Okay.

15

A. And there was a, there was a company up in Ontario that were looking for, you know, large scale jobs to manufacture this basalt, which is kind of a substitute, from what I understand, for rebar. So it's a lower cost alternative. So basically with, with Paul and the group, it was, there's a whole bunch of opportunities that P.E.I. could position itself for, because in my mind, you know, fishing and farming and things like that may not take us into the next, the later 21st century.

20

Q. Right.

25

A. So you need to diversify. So it was for the benefit of the Island. And ourselves. Don't, don't get me wrong. There was - there was hopefully some upside for -

Q. Yeah, yeah.

A. - ourselves.

30

Q. Of course. Now you mentioned the involvement of Mr.

5

Maines in these other projects. Is it fair to say that the team that you kind of had put together, which I understand, was you, Mr. Garth Jenkins Jr., Mr. Maines, maybe even Mr. Walsh, -

A. Umhum.

10

Q. - were kind of talking about these projects on an ongoing basis during 2010. Is that a fair statement?

A. That's a fair statement. Yeah.

Q. Okay. And you indicated that there were flights and meetings -

15

A. Umhum.

Q. - of these individuals in various places. So where, where would you go for these flights and meetings?

A. So, you know, it was more towards after we invested in CMT. It was more in 2011 than it was -

20

Q. Okay.

A. - in 2010. The only flight that I can recall in 2010 - well, there was two. There was, there was the Ottawa flight to meet Gary Jessop; and then we flew as a group to Chicago but it really didn't have anything to do with business. It was more to celebrate my 40th birthday.

25

Q. So just on those, just on those two flights, I understand that you didn't pay for the Ottawa flight.

A. I did not.

30

Q. Right. Do you know who paid for the flight?

5 A. It was arranged by Paul Maines. I don't know whose credit card was used, or -

Q. Okay.

A. But it wasn't mine.

Q. So Mr. Maines arranged that flight?

10 A. Yeah.

Q. So how did you find out what your ticket was? Did he e-mail you the details? Or how did you, how did you get on board, if you will?

15 A. So he asked if we were, if we would go up that morning and we agreed to do that. We arrived at the airport. Actually, I think there was some confusion. We weren't on the flight. So Big Paul got on his phone. I don't know who he called, whether it was Gary Jessop or whether it was Philip Walsh, and we ended up - they, they made arrangements to pay for the ticket and we got on the flight.

20

Q. Okay. When you say -

A. But that was very last minute.

25 Q. Right. When you say 'they', you mean either Mr. Walsh or Mr. Jessop?

A. Yeah. I don't know who Big Paul was talking to on the phone.

Q. Okay. And your Chicago trip for your 40th.

30 A. Yeah.

- 5 Q. Who - did you pay for that flight? Or, how did that come about?
- A. I paid for the flights.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Just for yourself or for others as well?
- A. No. Just for myself. And -
- Q. Okay.
- A. - we had, we had another friend of mine come and he paid for his own flight; and we left out of Halifax.
- 15 Q. Great. So that's 2010.
- A. That - yeah.
- Q. And what about meetings in 2011? Where did you go with the, with 'the team', as I've described it previously? That you can recall.
- 20 A. We were in Toronto. I mean, I was in Toronto a number of times. Some of it coinciding with, with business that I would be doing anyway -
- Q. Umhum.
- A. - outside of FMT/CMT. Because there was a number of
- 25 trips that I had to take on behalf of my own employer for pharmacy work that was occurring. So we would meet - you know, we went to - I'm trying to remember them all now. But just off the top of my head, we went to the Arnold Schwarzenegger speaking engagement - I think that was in
- 30

5 February - which was very good. We went to - we met with
Rob Segal twice. So I flew up the first time. He didn't
really understand the opportunity. It was Paul Maines
and myself in that meeting. And then met with him again,
arranged by Mark Lindsay. Mark is an, is an investor in
10 CMT, I understand. And he was quite good friends with
Rob Segal. So he introduced us to that, about moving
Virgin Gaming, or a portion of Virgin Gaming, here. So
met him once. Probably the, wasn't a great explanation
of what P.E.I. was. Met him; then I flew up again all on
15 my own dime. This was on my, my credit card. I met him
again, just flew up from Moncton, met him and then just
flew back that day. And, and then at that point,
introduced him to the Province, handed him over to Brad
Mix and that group at Innovation PEI. And subsequently
20 his group flew down here; Sandeep and another gentleman.
We took them around the Island; got them some seafood;
and at Innovation PEI, evaluated the ATC centre. And
then a period of time after that, they decided to move
jobs here. And then I understand they've expanded since
then.

25 Q. Okay. The, the Virgin Gaming that you talked about, this
is what you're, you're describing here?

A. Yes.

Q. Correct?

30 A. Yeah.

5 Q. What involvement that you know of does Mr. Maines have in that company, or that outfit?

A. None, to my knowledge.

Q. Okay.

10 A. He doesn't own - to my knowledge, he doesn't own any part of Virgin Gaming.

Q. But he was part of the facilitation of, in essence, the connections, if you will.

15 A. That's right. He knew Mark Lindsay. And Mark's a chiropractor to, you know - a very high-end chiropractor. So he introduced us to Mark. We would have phone conversations and Mark said: Well, maybe Rob would be interested. The idea being is that Rob is a master marketer. He owned Facebook, for the rights to Canada, for a period of time. And with Rob's connections, the
20 idea would be that you would create a recruiting firm using, you know, Paul Maines's kind of contacts on Bay Street; Rob Segal's, could run up a marketing company. You could market P.E.I. if you had, you know, if you had a lower corporate tax rate, or whatever advantages we
25 could offer.

Q. Okay. So you indicated that you had conference calls after you invested. And would those be weekly, monthly? How, how often?

30 A. Weekly.

- 5 Q. Weekly.
- A. For a period of time.
- Q. Right. Did you have any other meetings? Any in-person meetings?
- A. With Paul Maines?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- A. All kinds.
- Q. Okay. And did you have any meetings, you know, where you went out for dinner, or -
- A. Oh, absolutely. Dinner, drinks.
- 15 Q. Yeah. How often would you do that?
- A. During 2010/2011?
- Q. Yeah.
- A. Quite frequently when Paul was on the Island. I mean, he spent his summer here.
- 20 Q. Yeah.
- A. At that time, he still had a home in Collingwood. So we would be at the Gahan House, probably too frequently.
- Q. Right. I tried to get in last night and they were full. So it's a popular place.
- 25 A. It's a popular spot.
- Q. Yeah.
- A. I mean - yeah, and we would go for drinks and go for dinner. And -
- 30 Q. Right.

- 5 A. And Paul is a great, is a great entertainer. And he's
lots of fun to be with.
- Q. Right.
- A. And they're all good guys and lots of fun to be around.
- 10 Q. Right. Do you have any sense as to who ended up paying
for these meetings? The drinks and the food, and how
that went?
- A. Paul would pay for the drinks and food for 99 percent of
the time. I think I picked up the bill one or two
nights. But most times it was Big Paul.
- 15 Q. Right. And how did, how did you feel about that? Him
paying the bills? Was it perceived to be part of CMT
business, or how did that kind of get explained?
- A. That's how I, how I understood it, -
- Q. Okay.
- 20 A. - was part of CMT business.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any sense as to how often you would do
this during 2010/2011?
- A. If we - I mean, it just depended on Paul's schedule. If
he was in town and there was a group around, then we
25 would do a, I mean -
- Q. Yeah.
- A. - it could be weekly. It could be - it could have been
twice a week for some weeks, too. You know? So it just
30 depends on who's around. Like, if Philip was here or

5 some of the other - like, you'd, you'd see them multiple
 nights in that week, but. Because they were over from
 England -

Q. Right.

A. - or what have you.

10 Q. And would these meetings be mostly social or business-
 driven, or what?

A. I mean, they started - there was always a business
 component at the start of them and you would discuss
 business throughout the evening; but there was also a
15 social component to it.

Q. Sure. Fair enough. Okay. Alright. Now you've, you've
 signed the convertible loan agreement. And we have a
 copy of it here somewhere. We'll get to that in a
 minute.

20 A. Umhum.

Q. Before you - when was the first time you saw the
 convertible loan agreement that you signed? Let me ask
 you that first.

A. I couldn't tell you the exact minute; but it was sometime
25 during, you know, August of -

Q. Right.

A. - 2010.

Q. You certainly had seen it before you went to Ottawa to
30 sign it.

- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Because it would have been - Garth didn't sign it. He would have signed it here -
- Q. Right.
- 10 A. - before he went there.
- Q. And did anyone explain - or, no. Strike that. Did you read the convertible loan agreement in full before you signed it?
- A. I could say that I read it. But my qualification would be: Did I understand it?
- 15 Q. Right. Which is my next question. Did someone explain to you what, -
- A. No.
- Q. - what the, what the terms of it were?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Did you und-, what did you understand the essence of the convertible loan agreement to be?
- A. I didn't have a clue what a convertible loan was at the time.
- 25 Q. Okay.
- A. Not a clue. Never heard of that type of transaction before.
- Q. Right.
- 30 A. For me, it was - I was investing in a company.

- 5 Q. Right.
- A. Not loaning them money (unclear) a possible of conversion.
- 10 Q. Right. Okay. Which was my next question. Did you appreciate that you were lending money with the right, or potential right to convert should this reverse takeover that's been talked about take place? Did you understand that in full when you signed?
- A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did anyone - and perhaps, you know, Mr. Jessop or Mr. Maines, take any time to explain to you that aspect of the convertible loan agreement?
- A. They certainly explained what the shares would be.
- 20 Q. Right.
- A. Like, if - and upon reflection, it's only upon conversion. But I certainly didn't understand what a convertible debenture, or any of these terms, were.
- 25 Q. Right.
- A. They were all totally foreign to me at that time.
- Q. Okay. Up until the time that you invested in August, when you went to Ottawa, had you explained to Mr. Maines at all what you do for a living?
- 30 A. Oh yes. He'd been in this building after that, actually. Because we had - as part of this, we said we should have an office. And so we had our conference calls, after we

5 invested, on the third floor in this building. There was
a vacant space at the time. It's since been filled. So
he certainly knew I was a pharmacist.

Q. Umhum.

A. He certainly knew that I owned property.

10 Q. Right. Did you ever tell him what your net worth was?

A. I didn't.

Q. Did you ever tell him what your income was?

A. No.

15 Q. Okay. Did he ever - did he or Mr. Jessop, or anyone else
on behalf of CMT, ever ask you about your income or your
net worth or your assets that you owned, for the purposes
of an accredited investor claim that you were making?

20 A. They would have - they never asked me specifically. They
knew, probably through Young Garth, that I owned multiple
locations and that I had sold pharmacies. What I sold
them for and all the rest of it, no one ever asked. And
it's none of their business, really. You know?

Q. Fair enough. But -

A. They never asked that, though.

25 Q. Right. That's my point.

A. They might have presumed things but they never asked.

30 Q. Right. Right. And, I mean, that's one of the issues
here with some of the investors who appear not to fit the
definition -

- 5 A. Umhum.
- Q. - of an accredited investor. And one of the issues, of course is: What steps were taken by CMT to ascertain whether someone is or is not an accredited investor. This is why I asked you the question.
- 10 A. Sure.
- Q. That, that's fine. At the time that you were investing, did you understand that CMT was an active company, that it was generating revenue; that it was generating, you know, returns for investors at the time?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever see any financial statements for CMT before you invested?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you ever ask for them?
- 20 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. May I ask why you wouldn't ask for those?
- A. That's a good question. I certainly would now. I didn't - again, I believe in people. So I was investing in my cousin. And, you know, he introduced me to Big Paul and so I trusted his evaluation. I wasn't introduced - I wasn't evaluating the business, personally, because I don't have that skill set for that particular discipline. If you asked me to evaluate a pharmacy or a medical clinic, or something -
- 30

- 5 Q. Got you.
- A. - that I'm familiar with, no problem.
- Q. Okay. So you, in essence, relied on the judgment of your cousin.
- A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Claimatrix. At the time you invested, what did you understand Claimatrix to be?
- A. A reconciliation software. The background software for these claims.
- Q. Okay.
- 15 A. So, you know, I (unclear) to things that I know in the drug business, which would be: We send through a claim from a pharmacy to Blue Cross. Blue Cross then reconciles in the background of what they're going to pay and what we've submitted. And that comes through as, as
- 20 'x'. That whole process has a fee to it. And that - I understand that. It costs you so much per claim to go through.
- Q. Umhum.
- A. Claimatrix, in my, in the explanation to me, was that
- 25 that reconciliation software between one bank or one institution and, and your company or multiple banks or, or front or back end of different companies. And that's how I understood it.
- 30 Q. Okay. Simplex Consulting or Simplex UK.

- 5 A. Umhum.
- Q. What role did it play, in your understanding of CMT, before you invested?
- A. Well, I didn't really know, to be honest with you. It was a mish-mash, in my head, of - they all were the same kind of entity.
- 10 Q. Right.
- A. It wasn't till after that I understood that Simplex is its entity and CMT, through a process of negotiation, had ended up with 32 percent of Simplex; because Simplex, you know, wanted some piece of CMT's software. But I didn't understand that at the time.
- 15 Q. Okay. So you've, you've indicated that you relied, in large measure, on your cousin Garth to do the due diligence, -
- 20 A. Umhum.
- Q. - if I can call it that.
- A. Umhum.
- Q. But with respect to your decision to invest, to surrender your money, what led to that? You know? What was the value proposition in CMT, as you understood it, when you invested?
- 25 A. There was a huge upside when it became capitalized on the stock market. That was the real large, you know, upswing. So to speak.
- 30

5 Q. Okay. So did, did anyone make any statements to you as to what the likely capitalization benefits, as you've just articulated them, would be in a monetary sense?

A. Well, yes. So it was explained to me that you would invest at - whatever it worked out to be - 2 or 3 cents a share.

10 Q. Umhum.

A. And that it would be, it was often brought up that it would be - the starting kind of price would be 25 to 30 cents a share. So that's a - whatever you want to say, 4 or 500 percent increase, depends how you do your math I guess, in your valuation.

15 Q. Okay. And who made those statements to you that that was going to happen?

A. That was all big Paul.

20 Q. So it, it - just to make sure we're on the same page here, Mr. Maines made statements to you that there was an upside to the tune of, you know, a change in value from 2 cents per share to up to 25 to 30 cents per share in this company.

25 A. So he explained to me that, you know, through vari-, through all these things that we were putting together, you know, all the good news stories that would come out from being a P.E.I. company and having a financial transaction piece and maybe a recruiting arm, that this

30

5

was all how the stock market really, you know, operated and that it was all based on news. Right? And, you know, there's press releases put out and people would get excited and they invest. And, and everything that you do to promote a company or enhance its value, would, would affect its issuance price, or starting price. And that was, that was how it was explained to me.

10

Q. Right. But just, just to repeat the question, he stated to you in no uncertain terms that the price per share expected in the future was going to be 25 cents to 30 cents per share?

15

A. He, he explained many times that, that this was where the company would be going out at. And all this, these different people that were promoting the stock - whether it was GMP or some of these big firms in Ontario - that's where they were interested in coming out at. So it was him that was explaining this all to me.

20

Q. Right.

A. Did he say definitively it's going to come out at 30 cents? Well, he said that that's where his target would be.

25

Q. Okay. Was that a material aspect of your decision making process, the expectation of that kind of return in the future?

A. Absolutely.

30

Q. Okay. And you've indicated that it was only Mr. Maines

5 who made those statements to you?

A. Yeah.

Q. Mr. Jessop ever make statements like that to you?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, was there ever any discussion about the
10 listing of the company? At the time that you invested,
 you understood that it was still listed on the pink
 sheets.

A. Umhum.

Q. What were you told with respect to any change in listing,
15 any listing as a result of the reverse takeover as far as
 the company was concerned?

A. It was explained to me they would have to find a shell to
 acquire. They could - they could do one of two things.
 They could build their own company with a, you know,
20 through going through whatever the TSX would require to
 submit the different forms and documents. And that was
 explained to me to be an expensive proposition -

Q. Umhum.

A. - and a timely proposition. It takes some time to do
25 these things. So the other way to do it, was explained
 to me, was to buy a shell that's currently listed on the
 venture exchange or the TSX and have that reverse
 takeover. So CMT takes over the shell and becomes that
30 entity on that, on the venture or whatever one it was on.

- 5 CSNX, or whatever it is.
- Q. Right. And who was it that explained that to you?
- A. Big Paul.
- Q. Okay. And was there every any statement made with respect to which exchange would be the target exchange for this reverse take over?
- 10 A. Well, there'd be - it changed on a weekly basis.
- Q. Okay.
- A. So it changed from, you know, whether it was one company with one set of investors; to another one; and to one that was in Frankfurt. You know? It changed consistently -
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. - all the time. It was - there was never: It's this company doing this. It became this one. And he identified three or four different shells. And he was evaluating them.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. Or, negotiating with them.
- Q. May I suggest that likely took place after you invested, during your weekly -
- 25 A. Oh yes.
- Q. - discussions? Okay.
- A. That was after.
- 30 Q. Right. At the time that you invested, or, or prior

5 thereto, was there any discussions about this listing on
an exchange by way of a reverse takeover?

A. No. Not - there was a discussion that they would have to
find a shell, or some sort of an entity, to move forward.
But that was all Greek to me at the time.

10 Q. Right.

A. Right?

Q. Did you understand, at the time that you invested, that
that was a critical goal of CMT? Being to get listed
through a reverse takeover.

15 A. It was critical to CMT that they needed to get listed one
way. Either it was the traditional way or the easier, as
it was explained, way. Which would be the, the reverse
takeover.

20 Q. Okay. And at the time that you invested, were you told
that that was a certainty that that was going to take
place, that they were going to list on an exchange
through a reverse takeover of another company?

25 A. That was certainly their goal. Did, did they say it was
an absolute? No. I don't think they said it was an
absolute but they said there was two paths. And that was
the way it was explained -

Q. Right.

30 A. - to me. Either you create the listing through a normal
procedure or you buy out a listing.

5 Q. Right. But it's fair to say, is it not, that the only way that you would have been able to achieve the kinds of returns that we've just talked about would be by way of the process of listing, either through a reverse takeover or a direct listing of some sort?

10 A. Yes. It was to, to get it to the stock market.

Q. Right. And that was the process through which you would gain your returns, was the listing and the initial price. At which time, you would presumably be able to get your money back and then some. Correct?

15 A. We hope.

Q. Yeah. The agreement that you signed in, in Ottawa, did you ever receive a countersigned copy back from Mr. Jessop?

A. No.

20 Q. Okay. Can we just go off the record for a moment? I want to just locate that doc-

Record off

Record on

25 Q. So we're back on the record. Haven't been able to locate the document. I don't think it was that critical. I think we all know what we're talking about. After you invested in CMT, are you aware whether CMT did any public presentations or conducted any meetings to try and attract investors to the company?

30

5 A. Was I aware of any public meetings that were held by other individuals and potential investors, or involving me?

Q. Not necessarily involving you. Were you aware whether CMT or FMT, whatever it may be, -

10 A. Umhum.

Q. - were conducting any public presentations to potential investors in P.E.I.?

A. No.

15 Q. Okay. Were they conducting any other kinds of presentations in P.E.I. to anyone?

A. I wasn't aware before but there certainly was presentations that were made that I wasn't party to until afterwards that were made, that I understand were made to a group of individuals. Maybe they were a group of investors. And maybe some of them did invest, I don't know.

20 Q. Okay. What, what specifics do you know about that particular meeting?

A. Well, it was - there was a meeting - Shane MacEachern had had some interested individuals and I understand that Philip had spoke to them. But I don't know, I wasn't there so I can't really comment on what was said or what was done.

30 Q. Fair enough. When did this take place?

- 5 A. Sometime in 2011.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Probably summer 2011, perhaps.
- Q. And how did you become aware that Mr. MacEachern had some interested individuals?
- 10 A. We, we had - I don't know if he had interested individuals. What I know is that, that Philip had presented to a group of people.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And, and I don't know if they invested or not after that.
- 15 Q. So this group of people, was it a group of people within the interested individuals that Mr. MacEachern had that you just described?
- A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And do you know who organized this meeting where -
- 20 and when we're saying Philip, it's Philip Walsh we're talking about?
- A. That's right.
- Q. Right. Who organized that meeting?
- A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. Okay. And you say it took place sometime in the summer of 2011?
- A. You're testing my recollection but it was -
- Q. Okay. Well, I'm not trying - don't make it up.
- 30 A. No. No. I don't remember -

- 5 Q. Okay.
- A. - when exactly, to be truthful.
- Q. Fair enough. Fair enough. But you weren't present at this meeting?
- A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any of the individuals subsequently invested as a result of that meeting?
- A. I don't know. I don't know who was at the meeting so I don't know if they invested or not.
- Q. Okay. Very good. There are some promotional materials that were created for FMT.
- 15 A. Umhum.
- Q. And I wanted to ask you whether you had any involvement in the preparation of these, of these documents?
- A. Can I see them?
- 20 Q. We'll mark this.
- A. Want another pen?
- Q. Your pen is dead?
- A. You need a red one?
- Examiner:** I do need a red one but I -
- 25 A. I don't have a red one.
- Q. I might have a red one.
- Examiner:** I have one. Thanks.
- Q. You're good? Okay.
- 30 **Examiner:** Did you want me to call this Exhibit -

5

Q. Sure.

Examiner: - #1?

Q. It's a document for identification so we can call it Exhibit 1 if you want, but. That's fine.

Examiner: Okay.

10

Exhibit #1, (for identification) Promotional materials created for FMT

Q. Great. Thank you. So Mr. Jenkins, I'm just showing you this document. Do you recognize the document?

A. Mm. Yes. Yeah.

15

Q. Okay. Can you describe what it is, to your knowledge?

A. I think this is, it's just - this looks like a bit of a history on the company and the relationship and everything. I don't know if I've seen - I've certainly seen this front colour page before. It looks better in colour than it does in black and white. Going to have to get the Province some extra money to get a colour printer. You know. I recognize some of the drawings. Like, this one here.

20

Q. Right. You're referring to Page 3.

25

A. Page 3, mid left.

Q. Right.

A. I don't think I've ever seen this full document. I'd just seen, I've seen this piece here, which is a Claimatrix platform. That's how it was explained to me.

30

- 5 Q. Right.
- A. I've seen some of these channel partners; but never on extensive lists, like this one, before.
- Q. Very good. So you'll note that the document identifies, at the cover, FMT.
- 10 A. Mm.
- Q. And you invested in CMT. Or, you executed a convertible loan agreement with CMT.
- A. Umhum.
- Q. What was your understanding of the purpose of a document like this where FMT was identified?
- 15 A. Well, because of the connotations of being on the pink sheets with Capital Markets Technologies, you, you didn't want that to be, you know, to influence how your new transaction platform was going to look. So they came up with, with a new name.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. Which was Financial Markets Technologies.
- Q. So the purpose of it was - and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but was the purpose in, essence, to, to get away from the history of CMT?
- 25 A. Yes. Rehabilitate CMT under a new name called FMT. And, and perhaps that could be the name that went on the share certificate on the TSX.
- 30 Q. Right. And where did you understand the, that that, that

5 that change came from? Whose impetus was it to do that?

A. Well, we all tossed about different names. I mean, and I'm sure that it was printed that we - I think there was even e-mails set up with, and titles given, under FMT to both myself and Garth. I don't know if that's listed in there but it's, that's what happened. But it was, certainly, a discussion around a group of people including, you know, Garth and Big Paul. And I don't know, I can't remember if Philip was involved in that or not. But -

15 Q. Yeah.

A. But certainly Big Paul and Garth were, around what, what FMT could be or what name should it be.

20 Q. Okay. Were you involved in the preparation of any of these types of promotional materials like the one we've just marked as #1?

A. Well, I'm not technologically savvy.

Q. Fair enough. But did you provide content? Did you, did you have any involvement in the language, for instance -

A. I -

25 Q. - in these documents?

A. I never put this one together. I mean, that was all done through, through a firm that Big Paul had engaged over in London.

30 Q. PENTA Interactive?

5 A. That name's not familiar to me. It could have been but
I don't -

Q. Okay.

10 A. - recall which one it was. But I certainly don't have
the technology - I mean, I did see this cover sheet
before. And like I said, I've seen the Claimatrix piece
before. And we did a couple of web demos on what
Claimatrix was, of which that's where this document here,
the Exhibit on page 3 came from, with Richard Cato and
that group from, from, from UK. But I'd never seen this
15 before in its entirety.

Q. Did you understand this document's purpose to be directed
at investors, or some other purpose?

A. Well, I'd never really seen it in its entirety.

Q. Okay.

20 A. It was certainly a -

Q. That's fair.

A. It was certainly a presentation that could be made
available to people to inform them on, on what it, what
it was.

25 Q. Got you. Raymond James. Did you have any dealings with
Raymond James? This is a company out of Ontario.

A. That name was bantered about quite a bit. I didn't have
any dealings - I think there was one representative of
Raymond James that, that they were talking about, to,
30

5

actively.

Q. Right.

A. I'd heard Paul talk to him on the phone. I don't recall his name. And they had been an interested group before I got involved, and maybe since then. But I never talked to them.

10

Q. Right.

A. I understood they were interested.

Q. And what was the intended use or purpose of, of the involvement of Raymond James, as you understood it?

15

A. Raymond James is, according to the knowledge that I received, was a reputable firm that, you know, if they invested in this company, that that would be a tick on the box, so to speak -

Q. Okay.

20

A. - for promotions.

Q. So you understood that Raymond James was going to be an investor?

A. A partner or an investor, or what have you. Yes.

25

Q. Right. Was it ever suggested that Raymond James might be some form of underwriter or promoter?

A. Well, I think when they invest, I think that's, that's my understanding, is that if it's a GMP or a Raymond James, or whichever group, that by investing, they're promoting at the same time.

30

5 Q. Right. So they're, they're buying with the view to promoting and reselling perhaps some of their holdings or what have you.

A. To some of their individuals that invest, that they would have out in the field.

10 Q. Right.

A. I would assume.

Q. And did you understand, or did anyone ever suggest, that Raymond James would do this for a fee, or, or what that structure or engagement would be?

15 A. I never got into the specifics. I can't imagine anybody doing anything for nothing.

Q. Fair enough. Now, you indicated that you had weekly meetings with Mr. Maines and others, at times.

A. Umhum.

20 Q. Did you have agendas for these meetings?

A. There certainly was some, some structure that young Garth wanted to put forward on agenda items and issues to talk about, and opportunities. And after a number of meetings, that seemed to fall apart.

25 Q. Okay. Alright. Were there any e-mails exchanged with respect to the subject matter of those meetings, you know, beforehand?

A. There would have been, yes.

30 Q. Okay. And do you still have copies of those e-mails?

5 A. No. My e-mail was hacked in February of this year. And
an e-mail sent out to everybody that I was in Cypress and
please send money. I didn't get any money but I did get
3 or 400 phone calls. So -

Q. Wow.

10 A. - they also strip your database when they do that.

Q. Alright. Okay. Expenses that you may have incurred.
Did you ever make a claim for any expenses that you might
have incurred as a result of your work for, or on behalf
of, CMT/FMT in the meetings?

15 A. Yes. I put a bill in, I think it was for around \$10,000
for different meetings that we had attended and expenses
and all the rest. And as, -

Q. Alright.

20 A. - as did - Garth went to New York, I think, actually and
there was an expense claim put in for him.

Q. Right.

A. And he wrote part of a business plan. And he put an
expense claim in for that. But - I don't know about
Garth, but I certainly was never reimbursed.

25 Q. And who did you submit the bill to?

A. I submitted the bill to Gary Jessop.

Q. And do you still have a copy of this bill?

A. I don't know.

30 Q. Okay. And what led you to, to make that claim? Did

5 someone say: Look, you should make a claim? Or on what
basis -

A. Yeah.

Q. - did you feel you could make the claim?

10 A. No. Big Paul encouraged me, because of the work being
done, that I should make a claim and send it to, to Gary
Jessop. And, you know, where there was significant time
and obviously, monetary costs -

Q. Right.

15 A. - in what we were doing. So it seemed legi-, you know,
send it in, send a bill in.

Q. Right. Did Mr. Jessop ever make an overture or
invitation to you to make a claim?

A. No.

20 Q. So the basis for the claim was solely on the basis of Mr.
Maines' representations to you that you should do that.

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. And you've never been paid?

A. No.

Q. Do you know roughly when you made that claim?

25 A. No.

Q. Okay. At the time that you made your investment in CMT
in August of 2010, were you aware whether there were any
other P.E.I. investors at the time? Other than your
30 cousin Garth?

5

A. Just Garth.

Q. Okay. Subsequent to that, did you meet or have any knowledge of, of people who invested in CMT -

A. Yes.

Q. - in P.E.I.?

10

A. Umhum. Yes.

Q. And how did you gain that knowledge?

A. They would ask me.

Q. And how, how many people would have asked you, roughly?

15

A. Four or five. They would - because we were at events together -

Q. Right.

20

A. - and because of your reputation in the community, they would ask you, you know: How's the company going? You know. We invested. You know, what's your thoughts on it? And, you know, we were all working diligently to make it a viable local enterprise.

Q. Right. And do you have any knowledge as to how these four or five people ended up investing?

A. I don't.

25

Q. Okay.

A. But - no. I, I can't say directly how they, they came about.

30

Q. Which leads me to my next question. Are you aware whether Mr. Maines promoted CMT/FMT to anyone else other

5

than you and Garth?

A. These investors would have been, would have - meetings would have been set up with Paul Maines.

Q. Okay. And you say 'would have'. Do you know this for sure or are you guessing?

10

A. I would say I'm, I'm guessing.

Q. Okay. Do you have any actual knowledge of these meetings having taken place?

A. No.

15

Q. Okay. And the basis for your guess - and I'm not trying to demean the guessing.

A. No.

Q. I just want to make sure that we're on the same page.

A. Yes.

Q. The basis for that is what? Is that -

20

A. They were associated with Paul Maines in one facet or another. And that would be a logical conclusion that that's how they became aware of the company.

Q. Alright.

A. If not, how would they become aware of it?

25

Q. Right. And who are these four to five people that we're talking about?

A. Would be - you know, Gordie Dwyer would be one. Todd James would be another. Mark Rodd would be another.

30

Q. Right.

5 A. Those are the names that are - and then, of course, Garth and myself. Those would be the names that I could come up with.

10 Q. Right. So Mr. Gordon Dwyer was an individual that you were aware had, had had meetings or been in touch with Mr. Maines in some fashion?

A. I met Gordie through Paul Maines.

Q. Okay.

15 A. And he introduced me to Gordie, who is a fantastic individual. And you know, he had asked me if I had invested and I did. And he said he had invested. And I'd assumed it was through Paul because Paul introduced him to me.

Q. Fair enough. And Mr. James, how did you learn of, of him?

20 A. Again, he asked me how the company was going. You know, you run into these people at different events and, you know, he was asking how Big Paul's getting along with it. And I had understood that he was introduced. Now, he could - you know, he could have been introduced to it through Shane MacEachern, because it is his brother-in-law.

25 Q. Right.

A. Or Big Paul.

30 Q. Okay. Speaking of Mr. MacEachern, do you have a

- 5 relationship with Mr. MacEachern? I mean, a professional way, as far as - he is your broker, or?
- A. He's my broker. Yeah.
- Q. Right. And how long have you known Mr. MacEachern?
- A. Twenty years.
- 10 Q. Okay. And -
- A. Since UPEI days.
- Q. Very good. And as far as CMT's promotional activities to you, did Mr. MacEachern play any role in that?
- A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss CMT with Mr. MacEachern?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And when would that have been?
- A. It would have been ongoing through 2010/2011.
- Q. Right. And for what purpose did you have these discussions with Mr. MacEachern?
- 20 A. Well, I discussed it with him because it was a unique opportunity and it was educational for the both of us. He was in the stock business, investment -
- Q. Umhum.
- 25 A. - business. And, you know, just sounding off different ideas from him. He had known Paul Maines from years ago when, when -
- Q. Yeah.
- 30 A. - he played hockey in Montreal, or some place around

5

there. And so when I told Paul Maines that I knew Shane MacEachern, we actually went to ISE's one night for a beer and they reacquainted. And, and then we kind of went from there. Mr. MacEachern was - Shane was involved with, you know, he was up to date with some of the ventures that we were doing.

10

Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say that you put Mr. MacEachern and Mr. Maines together initially?

A. I certainly reacquainted them. Yes.

Q. Right. And did anything flow from that reacquaintance of these two individuals?

15

A. Well, then in my understanding, you know, Shane has, Shane's, you know - they certainly, you know - Mr. Maines utilizes peoples' contacts with whoever he gets in. And maybe that's how - and that's how we all do business. And so yes. I think that there's, -

20

Q. Okay.

A. - opportunities flowed from there.

Q. Have you ever referred anyone to invest in CMT or FMT? Well, I'll just call it CMT.

25

A. No.

Q. Okay. And the interaction you've had with other investors, we've covered off with the four to five people, three of whom you can remember today.

30

A. Yeah.

5 Q. Any other interaction with other investors that you can think of?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

10 A. Um, no. Some people had invested in CMT prior to me being invested.

Q. Right.

A. Like Mark Lindsay. I'd mentioned him.

Q. Yes. But I'm just talking about P.E.I.

A. P.E.I. No.

15 Q. Okay. Since you've invested, have you asked for your money back under the terms of the convertible loan agreement?

A. I have not.

Q. Okay. Do you intend to?

20 A. I would like to.

Q. Okay. Okay. Now, RevTech. You've invested in RevTech -

A. I have.

Q. - on separate occasions. On one occasion, you bought some shares.

25 A. Yeah.

Q. And on a second occasion, you invested through a convertible loan agreement.

A. That's correct.

30 Q. And how did that come about?

5 A. It was introduced to me that this was one of the three possible shells that CMT was going to reverse takeover.

Q. Yes.

10 A. And that a way to, as the phrase goes - get painted on both sides, is the exact phrase, then you would buy a piece of this company. So I bought some shares through the Royal Bank off the stock exchange. And I think that's probably documented somewhere.

Q. Umhum.

A. And I invested in a convertible loan.

15 Q. Okay. Now you said: It was stated. Who was it that stated this to you?

A. Paul Maines.

20 Q. So he - again, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but did he suggest and solicit you to, to make that investment?

A. No. He explained that this was one of the three shells and that that was an opportunity.

Q. Okay.

A. A great opportunity.

25 Q. Okay. As a result of which, you then -

A. Invested.

Q. - invested. Alright.

A. Thirty-some thousand dollars.

30 Q. Right. Alright. Let's just go off the record for a

5

second because I've got to use your washroom.

Record off

Record on

10

Q. Okay. I've just had a moment to review my documents, Mr. Jenkins. I don't have any further questions. If you have anything that you want to ask me on the record, now is your opportunity.

A. No. I'm fine for now.

15

Q. Okay. So that'll conclude the transcript. Or, sorry, the, the interview. We'll provide you with a copy of the transcript once it's been prepared. And if you have any changes or corrections to that, you can let us know.

A. Thanks very much.

Q. Okay? Thank you.

20

INTERVIEW ADJOURNED

25

30